





YorkshireWater

South Elmsall Constructed Wetlands

September 2024 / April 2025



Contents

- Overview
- Engineering Summary- *Rodgers Leask Consultants*
- PowerCem Philosophy
- RoadCem Benefits
- RoadCem Soil Stabilisation: Technical Information
- RoadCem Panama Canal Basins & Permeability Certificate & other relevant projects.
- Contact Details





Overview

Development of RoadCem a cement modification catalyst began in the Netherlands over twenty years ago. Based on Nano quantities of alkali earth metals to extend crystal growth.

Encouraged by the results, zeolites were added to control/extend the hydration process using their natural ability to absorb and release moisture. This allowed control of the availability of water during the second phase of hydration to prevent CSH pasting interfering with the hydration process.

Testing carried out by Coventry University showed that would provide strength without swell on treating high sulphate clay. Our RoadCem was used at a mix ratio of 1% by weight of cement and swell was reduced to almost zero.

To validate this, result the hydration process was studied and to quote Samuel Ngambi senior researcher: "The evolution of extended crystallisation in the hydration mechanism of the stabilised products with the incorporated RoadCem as revealed by SEM indicated a "wrapping" (matrix of interlocking filaments) structure – a phenomenon which is responsible for the improved mechanical properties of the stabilised soils."

What has been shown here is that the longer crystals developed by adding RoadCem are wrapping around the particles being bound, unlike with cement alone when the particles are literally glued together.

Coventry University also observed that the dense void free product formed by adding RoadCem to the cement mix was noticeably less permeable.

"The moisture retention of the RoadCem-modified soils was initially higher but reduced subsequently as the saturation level increased with decreasing suction. This phenomenon confirmed that the soils stabilised by including RoadCem are waterproof in nature which ensures reduced porosity and suction even at reduced water content."

It has been proved both in the laboratory and field applications that RoadCem can bind organic topsoil and low moisture peats to form durable, impermeable infrastructure.

With the confidence that we had a product/technology that could be used to treat in-situ topsoil to form strong durable bound earth structures, we approached Eric Wright Water Ltd and suggested that soil stabilisation on their proposed Yorkshire Water constructed wetland development at South Elmsall could save carbon, cost and construction time.

All parties agreed that it would be a sustainable environmental breakthrough if this project could be carried out without importing stone and clay soil to site also avoiding the use of plastic liners.





Rogers Leask Design & Testing For Wetlands Project

It is understood that the primary purpose of the stabilised layer is to provide a low permeability liner for the proposed lagoons. The tri axial cell permeability test result of 3.4 x 10-08 represents a very low permeability and is only marginally above the specification for puddle clay. In addition, this is based on only 2 days curing time; permeability would be expected to decrease further following additional curing time. The classification tests results for the topsoil generally meet the requirements of the specification. With regards to dispersibility, the classification "ND3" typically suggests that the soil is relatively nondispersive, meaning it retains its structural integrity when in contact with water.

The "Rate of Flow" of 1.50 ml/s indicates the rate at which water flowed through the soil-water mixture, which is relatively low, and consistent with non-dispersive soils.

The "Colour Cloudiness" described as "Barely visible" suggests that there was only minimal cloudiness or turbidity in the water after the test. This is a positive sign for non-dispersive soils.

The "Hole Diameter After Test" of 1.60 mm indicates that there was some enlargement of the pinhole during the test, but it remained relatively small. This enlargement could be due to a small amount of dispersion but is not considered to be excessive.

Although the ND classification is slightly greater than the puddle clay specification, the material is considered to be relatively non-dispersive.

The ability of the soil to disperse will diminish further following additional curing time. Values of Total Potential Sulphate (3 times total sulphur) are less than 0.25%, which is the recommended limit above which lime/cement binders may not be considered suitable due to the potential for swelling. The risk of swelling due to sulphates is therefore considered to be minimal, although further testing is recommended to confirm this preliminary assessment.

On the basis of the above and the laboratory tests undertaken, the permeability and dispersibility of the topsoil following stabilisation with RoadCem and Cement additives is considered to be sufficiently low for the proposed application of a lagoon liner in principle. It is recommended that site trials are undertaken to confirm the above, and appropriate in-situ testing undertaken following placement to confirm suitably low permeability has been achieved, in accordance with an Engineer's Specification.

The pinhole test device is an instrument for direct measurements of the dispersibility anderodibility of fine-grained soil, using a flow of water passing through a small hole in a the specimen (Sherard et al. 1976), under hydraulic heads (H) ranging between 50 and 1020 cm.

Dispersibility is assessed by observing effluent colour and flow discharge through the hole, by visual inspection of the hole after the completion of the test.

According to Sherard et al. 1976, the test is highly reproducible and the results of each individual test can be categorized easily.







Top Soil Testing Results for Wetlands Project



10.767 g

0,50

13.200 g

5,4 g

538 g

269 g

2.433 g

0 g

0 g

269 g

2702 g

8,1 dm3

0,8 kg/m³

81 kg/m³

Atterberg Limits

Plasticity Index

PL

LL

PI

Plastic Limit

Liquid Limit

Design

Soil (dry)

RoadCem

Cement

Amounts

RoadCem

Volume mixture

Nat. moisture content

Water to optimum

Water for cement

Additional water

Total water

Cement

Water

Water

Soil

w.c.f.



24

37

13

0,05%

5,0%

2,5%

22,6%

0,0%

2,5%

0,0%

25,1%

Water for cement

Additional water

Total water





24

37

13

0,09%

9,0%

4,5%

Atterberg Limits					Atterberg Limits			
Plastic Limit	PL			24	Plastic Limit	PL		
Liquid Limit	u			37	Liquid Limit	LL .		
Plasticity Index	PI			13	Plasticity Index	PI		
Design					Design			
Soil (dry)	10	.767	g		Soil (dry)		10.767	g
RoadCem		1,1	kg/m ³	0,07%	RoadCem		1,5	kg/m ³
Cement		113	kg/m³	7,0%	Cement		146	kg/m³
w.c.f.		0,50		3,5%	w.c.f.		0,50	
Amounts					Amounts			
Soil	13	.200	g		Soil		13.200	g
RoadCem		7,5	g		RoadCem		9,7	g
Cement		750	g		Cement		969	g
Water		375	g		Water		485	g
Volume mixture		8,1	dm ³		Volume mixture		8,1	dm ³
Water					Water			
Nat. moisture con	tent 2	.433	8	22,6%	Nat. moisture cor	ntent	2.433	8
Water to optimum	1	0	g	0,0%	Water to optimur	m	0	g

	Water			
22,6%	Nat. moisture content	2.433	8	22,6%
0,0%	Water to optimum	0	g	0,0%
3,5%	Water for cement	485	g	4,5%
0,0%	Additional water	0	g	0,0%
26,1%	Total water	2918	g	27,1%

Samples (4" cylinders)			Samples (4" cylinders)			Samples (4" cylinders)			
Amount (volume)	6 pcs	5,7 dm ³	Amount (volume)	6 pcs	5,7 dm ³	Amount (volume)	6 pcs	5,7	dm³
Density of mix		1988 kg/m ³	Density of mix		1988 kg/m³	Density of mix		1988	kg/m³
Minimum soil required		11,3 kg	Minimum soil required		11,3 kg	Minimum soil required		11,3	kg

375 g

2808 g

0 g

Unified Compressiv	e Strength (UCS)		Unified Compressive	e Strength (UCS)		Unified Compressiv	e Strength (UCS)	
2 days	1767 g	2,6 N/mm ²	2 days	1788 g	2,8 N/mm ²	2 days	1812 g	3,1 N/mm ²
	1747 g	2,5 N/mm ²		1790 g	2,8 N/mm ²		1792 g	3,0 N/mm ²
	1786 g	2,6 N/mm ²		1785 g	2,7 N/mm ²		1832 g	3,2 N/mm ²
5 days	1692 g	3,5 N/mm ²	7 days	1714 g	3,8 N/mm ²	5 days	1728 g	4,2 N/mm ²
	1684 g	3,4 N/mm ²		1723 g	3,7 N/mm ²		1749 g	4,1 N/mm ²
	1700 g	3,7 N/mm ²		1705 g	4,0 N/mm ²		1706 g	4,2 N/mm ²
28 days	1657 g	4,4 N/mm ²	28 days	1679 g	4,9 N/mm ²	28 days	1709 g	5,5 N/mm ²
	1659 g	4,8 N/mm ²		1673 g	5,0 N/mm ²		1701 g	5,5 N/mm ²
	1655 g	4,0 N/mm ²		1685 g	4,8 N/mm ²		1716 g	5,5 N/mm ²
Comments			Comments			Comments		



Comments







www.powercem.com

Philosophy

The primary objectives for using PowerCem products in wetland construction are:

- 1. To significantly reduce the carbon footprint of the construction
- 2. To preserve virgin aggregate resources
- 3. To avoid the use of a manufactured membrane
- 4. To create a facility that can be maintained simply and safely throughout its intended design life
- 5. To use materials that can be fully recycled at end of life of the facility, with zero waste

Project Details

The goal of the project was the creation of 5 no. wetland cells at Yorkshire Water Sewage Treatment Works (STW) in South Elmsall, Yorkshire, covering a total area of approximately 25,300m² in order to provide sewage water treatment and retention capacity and help reduce storm overflow discharges into Frickley Beck, a tributary of the River Don. Pollutants and nutrients from the storm water will be broken down and removed by a planting regime within the

cells. The wetlands will accommodate a flow of 440 l/s of diluted waste water.

Methodology

The design of the wetlands was engineered to ensure a balanced cut/fill; no soil to landfill and no imported soil or granular material.

The wetland was constructed in a field adjacent to the existing sewage treatments works, between the railway line and the beck.

The superficial vegetation was stripped off and the topsoil removed and stockpiled.

The bulk earthworks were carried out to create the plateaus for each of the 5 cells.

The bases of the cells were stabilised in-situ, to a depth of 250mm, utilising RoadCem and





The topsoil was stabilised ex-situ, placed in 250mm layers and compacted, to form the perimeter and internal embankments to the cells.

The embankments were formed oversized and trimmed back to the final profile after 1 week.

Prior to commencement laboratory tests had been carried out to prove the impermeability and strength of the stabilized soils. The target permeability was to match the characteristics of puddle clay..

The first cell to be completed was filled with water, estimated to be in the region of 6 million litres, which successfully proved the low permeability and robustness of the construction.

The stabilised embankments have been cut through to enable the installation of the in-situ concrete inlet and outlet structures and the precast concrete inter cell cascades. Examining the embankments in section at the cuts gave further confidence on the strength and consistency of the stabilized material.

Carbon Footprint

The original design intent was to export all of the topsoil to landfill and to import a similar quantity of clay to form the cells.

It is estimated that this would have involved around 3,000 heavy goods vehicle movements.

Access to the STW is along a single-track road, through South Elmsall train station car park.

The original design included construction of a 650m long temporary access road across fields to the main road to the south of the site, requiring 2 bridges over the beck and a formal junction at the main road.

Stabilising the site won materials reduced the HGV movements to simply delivery of the RoadCem and cement and the construction plant.

The temporary road was not required with the RoadCem design, creating a very significant saving in quarried stone and the carbon footprint associated with the construction of the haul road. At the end of the project the field would have been reinstated and the construction materials would have been waste due to contamination with soil from the vehicles.

Based on detailed studies of other projects in the UK and globally it is estimated that the reduction in carbon footprint would be in the region of 80%. The data to carry out the detailed study at South Elmsall is not available.

The omission of the liner is a further saving in carbon footprint in terms of the manufacture and transport of the membrane.



Technology

Cement and lime simply 'glue' the particles together, resulting in a brittle material with little tensile strength.

RoadCem significantly enhances the stabilisation process by wrapping the particles in a needle like matrix, which can be observed at a microscopic level.

The resultant material has good tensile strength, a high modulus and is relatively impermeable.

This means that large areas can be stabilised with excellent crack control, such that movement joints are not required.

A greater proportion of the cement is included in the hydration process which improves the durability of the stabilised material.

Contaminants are locked into the microstructure, preventing leaching of contaminants.

RoadCem can be used with soils which are not suitable for lime, or cement stabilisation such as soils with high organic content and clays with high sulphate content and high-volume change potential.

Design and Verification

The final layout of the cells and the cut/fill model were carried out by Civil Engineers from Rodgers Leask Ltd.

The soil sampling, laboratory testing, geotechnical design and site monitoring and verification were carried out by Geotechnical Engineers from Rodgers Leask Ltd.

Maintenance and End of Life

The stabilised material forming the bases and embankments of the cells enables mechanical plant to enter the cells for maintenance without damaging the integrity or the water retaining properties. The use of a liner would have made maintenance more difficult.

When the cells are eventually decommissioned the stabilised soil can be recycled, either as a growing medium, or as an aggregate for use elsewhere.



What does RoadCem Stabilised Soil Look Like?

The photographs below shows a 250mm deep block cut out of the base of a cell with a floor saw, this allows the wetlands cascades to be precast off site and cemented into precut channels in the RoadCem stabilised soil base/embankments. The ductile RoadCem structure retains its water tight integrity with no breakaway or cracking.





PowerCem Proposals

Stabilised Alluvium top soils to form strong water tight containment basins.

- Remove and store existing 250 mm of top soils, to allow access to clay sub soils which will be removed for later placement as reed growing medium.
- Replace and stabilise top soils with RoadCem to form water tight containment cells. Before replacing clay for reed planting and installing connecting pipe work between cells.





IMAGE SOURCE, YORKSHIRE WATER



The Benefits of RoadCem Soil Stabilisation

Project benefits gained from the use of RoadCem in-situ soil stabilisation:-

- Reduced earthworks and necessity to remove top soils from site or import materials to site.
- RoadCem offers rapid production of the impermeable stabilised bearing/bund layers.
- Non-leaching stabilisation means no environmental contamination concerns during installation or from subsequent saturation of the RoadCem stabilised layer once the water is introduced. Also avoiding the environmentally sensitive need to use plastic membranes.
- RoadCem is not a 'soft stabilisation' technique it forms robust, crack free layers that will not soften over time when exposed to standing and/or running water.
- The RoadCem treated basins will have a high dynamic stiffness allowing dumpers and plant to operate across the basins to place the growing medium and also to carry out any future maintenance and /or growing medium replacement.
- RoadCem stabilised soil has a really high insulation value (Ulster University FireSERT Dept) this can help keep water warmer increasing winter wetland efficiency.





RoadCem Soil Stabilisation: Technical Information

RoadCem is a patented additive used to enhance cement based soil stabilisation. It is an inert blend of synthetic Zeolites, and alkaline earth metals formed into a powdered Product and supplied in 25 kg bags.

RoadCem enhances the behaviour of the cementitious reaction acting as a catalyst to produce a unique form of Nano-scale crystal growths within the cement bound material promoting the formation of long needle like crystals which create a binding lattice between and around the soil particles filling any voids.

This crystalline structure differs from that with a standard cement stabilisation where gluing between adjacent soil particles occurs to develop strength, creating a strong but inherently brittle bind which can be prone to cracking.

Traditional vs RoadCem® Nano technology!

Conventional Stabilization

PowerCem stabilization



Cement glues the particles together "Brittle"

Formation of strings, interlocking the particles "Flexible"

Literature: Nano indentation research on cement structures RADBOUD UNIVERSTY, Netherlands Effects of using RoadCem, ULSTER UNIVERSITY (Ireland) This binding lattice matrix produces higher tensile strengths and flexural stiffness of the end product, making it more robust and resistant to cracking able to withstand high loadings, and repeated soaking and saturated conditions.

The key source of structural failure in hydraulically bound materials, comes from porosity. Pores cause cracks, structural failure and enable the penetration of water and corrosive agents.

Porosity is very important, in determining the strength of a material. Pores in stabilised soils determine the strength and overall ability of the construction material to withstand mechanical strain caused by heat, shear forces or water/chemical degradation.

Pores with RoadCem are normally of a size range 2.5 to 20 Nano meters (nm) (or 0.0025 to 0.02 microns) and are considered harmless pores.

Pores in cement stabilised soils without RoadCem will normally be larger decreasing the mechanical strength, density, as well as the ability of the stabilised soil to resist degradation and long term saturation.

When the pores in the stabilisation are filled by adding RoadCem, the mechanical strength, density and life span of the structure increases substantially.







Despite the very wet weather at the start of the project in September followed by unusually cold temperatures and snow in December/January.

Progress at South Elmsall has continued on schedule.

As using alluvium topsoil for the permanent structure of the water basins has not been utilised in the UK before, there has been a learning curve for all parties.

Nothing has proved beyond the capabilities of the construction team from DNS (Midlands) and it is fair to say that lessons learned will make the next project far easier.

The biggest lesson learned is to be patient with the weather and that The concept of reusing existing site materials is perfectly possible when new technologies like RoadCem are used in a sensible sustainable way.







RoadCem in-situ stabilised soils used for lining the three Water Basins

New Locks for the Panama Canal





2012-2014



The Three large RoadCem treated Water Basins have performed without problems since installed.



STRENGTH CONTINUES TO INCREASE



TESTING & INSPECTIC PANAMA, S. de R.L						The I Third Se Compressive / Ten	Panama Canal t of Locks Proj sile Strength Cylind	ect ders (LAB)					
	Project Name		ATLANTIC		Project Number	F100013	Date Sampled	7-Jun-12	1	fime Sampled**	02:30 p.m.	Time Batched**	N/A
	Sample No.		5003		Mix Design ID**	N/A	Design Strength	N/A]	Technician	AS-RS	Mixing Time**, s	N/A
	Ticket #**		N/A		Truck #**:	70	Batching Plant I.D.**	N/A]	Checked By	ER]	
Sa	ample Location:	Field	🗹 Lab 🛛	Plant P	Placement Location**	POWER CEMENT F300	0 LAB TEST (35 CILI	NDROS 3 VIGAS		w/cm**	N/A]	
Mtl. & I	Slump (mm) Pot Weight (kg)	90 21.37	P	Air Temp. (°C) ?ot Weight (kg)) 28) 3.85	Concrete Temp.* (°C) Pot Volume (m ³)	32.8 0.0071	Unit V	Air (%) Veight (kg/m ³)	1.2 2468	Ves	Wet Sieve?	V No
Scale ID	3375	Scale (Check		Air Meter ID	5000	Thermometer ID	3371		Slump Cone ID	3050		
Scale ID		_					L		1	•		J	
Mix Type	MARI	NO]			SPECIFICATIONS	Slump N/A	Air N/A	Concre	ete Temp N/A	Air Temp N/A]	
Mix Type	MARI	NO Cyl No.	Height (mm)	Dia (mm)	Area (mm ²)	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type	Slump N/A Weight (kg)	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³)	Concre N Test Method	ete Temp V/A Initials	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN)	Strength (MPa)	Checked By
Mix Type Age (day)	MARE Date 10-Jun-12	NO Cyl No. 1	Height (mm) 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³) 2526	Concre M Test Method C	ete Temp V/A Initials M.A	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7	Strength (MPa) 22.7	Checked By SB
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12	Cyl No.	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4	Arca (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³) 2526 2534	Concre M Test Method C C	ete Temp N/A Initials M.A M.A	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6	Checked By SB SB
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 7	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12	Cyl No.	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³) 2526 2534 2523	Concre N Test Method C C C	ete Temp N/A Initials M.A M.A M.A	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6	Checked By SB SB SB
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 7 28	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 5-Jul-12	Cyl No.	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3 5	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03 14.05	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³) 2526 2534 2523 2527 2527	Concre N Test Method C C C C C	Initials MA MA MA MA MA	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7 634.8	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6 34.8	Checked By SB SB SB JH
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 7 28 28 90	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 5-Jul-12 5-Sun-12	Cyl No.	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3 5 4 4 4	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03 14.05 14.06 14.06	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³) 2526 2534 2523 2527 2529 2529	Concre N Test Method C C C C C C	Initials MA MA MA MA MA MA MA DG	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7 634.8 662.5 878	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6 34.8 36.3 48.1	Checked By SB SB SB JH JH
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 28 28 90 90	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 5-Jul-12 5-Jul-12 5-Sep-12 5-Sep-12	NO Cyl No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3 5 4 4 4 4 4	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03 14.05 14.06 14.06 14.05	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³) 2526 2534 2523 2527 2529 2529 2529	Concre N Test Method C C C C C C C C C C C C	Initials MA MA MA MA MA MA MA DG DG	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7 634.8 662.5 878 857.8	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6 34.8 36.3 48.1 47.0	Checked By SB SB SB JH JH MM
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 28 28 90 120	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 5-Jul-12 5-Jul-12 5-Sep-12 5-Sep-12 5-Oct-12	NO Cyl No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03 14.05 14.06 14.06 14.05 14.03	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m ³) 2526 2534 2523 2527 2529 2529 2529 2527 2523	Concre N Test Method C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Initials MA MA MA MA MA MA MA DG DG HM	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7 634.8 662.5 878 857.8 1025.2	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6 34.8 36.3 48.1 47.0 56.2	Checked By SB SB JH JH MM MM MM
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 28 90 120 120	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 5-Jul-12 5-Jul-12 5-Sep-12 5-Oet-12 5-Oet-12	NO Cyl No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03 14.05 14.06 14.06 14.05 14.03 14.03 14.03	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m³) 2526 2534 2523 2527 2529 2529 2529 2527 2523 2522	Concre N Test Method C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Initials MA MA MA MA MA MA MA DG DG HM HM	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7 634.8 662.5 878 857.8 1025.2 1059.8	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6 34.8 36.3 48.1 47.0 56.2 58.1	Checked By SB SB JH JH MM MM MM MM
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 7 28 28 90 90 120 120	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 5-Jul-12 5-Jul-12 5-Sep-12 5-Sep-12 5-Oct-12 5-Oct-12	NO Cyl No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03 14.05 14.06 14.06 14.05 14.03 14.03 14.03	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m³) 2526 2534 2523 2527 2529 2529 2529 2527 2523 2522	Concre N Test Method C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Initials MA MA MA MA MA MA MA DG DG HM HM	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7 634.8 662.5 878 857.8 1025.2 1059.8	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6 34.8 36.3 48.1 47.0 56.2 58.1	Checked By SB SB JH JH MM MM MM MM MM
Mix Type Age (day) 3 7 28 90 120 120	MARI Date 10-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 14-Jun-12 5-Jul-12 5-Jul-12 5-Sep-12 5-Sep-12 5-Oct-12 5-Oct-12	NO Cyl No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Height (mm) 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8 304.8	Dia (mm) 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4 152.4	Area (mm ²) 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47 18241.47	SPECIFICATIONS Fracture Type 3 4 3 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Slump N/A Weight (kg) 14.047 14.09 14.03 14.05 14.06 14.06 14.05 14.03 14.03 14.02	Air N/A Unit Weight (kg/m³) 2526 2534 2523 2527 2529 2529 2529 2529 2522 2523	Concre N Test Method C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Initials MA MA MA MA MA MA MA DG DG HM HM	Air Temp N/A Total Load (kN) 414.7 484.8 502.7 634.8 662.5 878 857.8 1025.2 1059.8	Strength (MPa) 22.7 26.6 27.6 34.8 36.3 48.1 47.0 56.2 58.1	Checked By SB SB JH JH MM MM MM MM

*The measured temperature represents the temperature of the test sample at the time of testing only.

** As reported in GUPC's batching ticket

Abbreviations Used: Dia = diameter, Mtl. = material, Temp = Temperature, Predet. = predetermined Referenced ASTM Standards: C172, C143, C138, C231, C173, C31, C617, C1231, C39, C496, C1064



Reveles

Firmado digitalmente por Erick Reveles Nombre de reconocimiento (DN): cn=Erick Reveles, o=Fall Line Testing and Inspeciton Panama, ou, email=Erick.Reveles@falllinetsting.c om, c=<n Fecha: 2012.10.05 16:50:18 -05'00'

1/1

G00/FALTWS0017 Rev. 031212

PERMEABILITY TESTING- PANAMA CANAL BASINS



		USDE	עעב	37140 Le	oón, Gua	anajuato,	México.							
CLIENTE	Survey of	POWERCEM MEX	UCO					FECHAEN	ISION		14 DE AG	OSTO DE 2	012	
LOCALIZ	ACION	CANAL PANAMA						No. REPO	RTE		1			
ATENCI	NC	ING. OROZCO					_	No. CONT	ROL		1			
_														
MTRA	EECHA	CONCRETO	CLASIE	CIDO, M	DIAM	SPECIFIC	A, ABSO	RCION Y	VACIO	ARS.I	C 263 / A	STM C 64	2 02	VACIO
No	FLAB	UBICACIÓN	TIPO	(cm)	(cm)	A	B	C	D	(96)	(%)	Ma/m ³	Ma/m ³	(%)
E24	11-07-12	ConcreCem	CIL	29.8	15.0	12,125	12.550.0	12.360.0	7.120.0	3.51	1.94	2.31	2.42	4.48
E25	11-07-12	ConcreCem	CIL	29.8	15.0	12,205	12,625.0	12,440.0	7,171.0	3.44	1.93	2.32	2.42	4.46
E26	11-07-12	ConcreCem	CIL	25.0	15.0	10,120	10,285.0	10,235.0	5,840.0	1.63	1.14	2.30	2.36	2.62
E27	11-07-12	ConcreCem	CIL	30.0	15.0	11,875	12,060.0	12,050.0	6,655.0	1.56	1.47	2.20	2.27	3.24
5	COSC SALA		100.000		20122062					Conversion of the	122222	19444143	ALC: NO	A MARKED AND
6													Î	
7														
8														
9														
10					_								-	
11														
12														
14														
15														
16												_	-	
17														
18														
19														
20														
21														
22														
23														
24														
25					-	-			-	_				
					100									
ESTADIS	STICOS	MEDIA		28.7	15.0	11,581.3	11,880.0	11,771.3	6,696.5	2.53	1.62	2.28	2.37	3.70
SIMBOL	OGIA	DESV. ES1.		2.4	0.0	1120.7	GRAFICO	DE COMPO	DTAMIEN	TO	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.9
A	Masa Seca (ars)				1 00	01001001	DL GOMP C	INT/MILIN	10.				
в	Masa satura	da por inmersión v :	superficialm	ente seca (o	rs)	3.50								
C	Masa satura	da por ebullición y s	uperficialme	ente seca (g	rs)	3.00	1							_
D	Masa de la p	robeta sumergida (grs)			2.50								
g1	Masa especi	fica seca (grs)				1.50	1 ho							
g2	Masa especi	fica aparente (grs)			1 70	1.00	r							
ABS-I	Absorción po	r Inmersión				0.50								
ABS-E	Absorción po	r Ebullición				0.00	2.2.4	6 6 7 9	0 10 11	40 40 4	4 45 46 47	19 10 20 1	24 22 22 24	25 26 27
						1 and 1	2 9 4	5 0 7 0	8 10 H	No. de N	luestras	10 18 20 2	CI 22 20 24	20 20 21
					-					-		17		
OBSERV	ACIONES:								l I		1	100	2	
	Absorción de	spués de Inmersión	n:	2.53	%						Kit	Well	1	
	Absorción d	lespués de la Ebulli	ición:	1.62	%						(1)	1		
	Masa especi	fica seca:		2.28	Mg/m ²						Ing, Alter	so Rafae	Ayala Pér	ez.
	Masa aparen	te:		2.37	Mg/m ³						F	Serente Té	cnico	
	% Volúmern	de poros permeble:	S:	3.70	%						Ced	Prof. No.	2076742	
	Las muestra:	s elaboradas con C	oncreCem p	resentan me	enor abso	rción y % de	volumen d	0				1		

	UNIVERSIE	DAD DE SAN CARLOS DE C	GUATEMALA	
	INFORME No.:	235 S.S.	O.T. No.: 32.42	1
INTERESADO:	Productora Acu	ario, S.A.		
PROYECTO:	Represa			
ASUNTO:	Ensayo de Perr	meabilidad Cabeza Variable		
NORMA:	ASTM D 5856-0	00		
UBICACIÓN:	Escuintla			
MUESTRA No .:	1	PROFUNDIDAD: X r	netros	
DESCRIPCIÓN I	DEL SUELO:	Limo Arenoso Color Café		
FECHA:	Lunes, 28 de Al	bril de 2014		
RESULTADO DI	EL ENSAYO:			
	Courdel de Ente			
	Caudal de Sali	rada = 1,24 x 10 m²/s		
	Caudal de End Caudal de Sali Coeficiente de	rada = 1,24 x 10 ° m/s ida = 0,00 m³/seg Permeabilidad= 0,00 x 10	⁻⁹ cm/s	
OBSERVACION	Caudal de End Caudal de Sali Coeficiente de ES: Muestra proporci Mezcla de Suelo, Previo a ensayar	ida = 0,00 m³/seg Permeabilidad= 0,00 x 10 onada por el interesado. , Cemento (180kg/m³) y POWi se realizó curado de la muest	⁻⁹ cm/s ERCEM (1,8 kg/m³). Ira.	
OBSERVACION	Es: Mezcla de Suelo, Previo a ensayar	Atentamente, Marcine Constant Conada por el interesado. Cemento (180kg/m³) y POWI se realizó curado de la muest Atentamente, Marcine Constant El Montenta Ling. Oma Jefe Se	** cm/s ERCEM (1,8 kg/m³). tra. UNIVERSIDAD DE SAN CARLOS FACUMAD DE NORM SECONON DE MECHINEA SECONON DE MECANICA SECONON DE MECANICA TE Enrique Medrano Mendez reción Mecánica de Suelos	DE GUAITEM LERA VOIDES LEL
OBSERVACION	ES: Muestra proporci Mezcla de Suelo, Previo a ensayar	ionada por el interesado. Cemento (180kg/m ³) y POWI se realizó curado de la muest Atentamente, San CIR (05 M DE INVESTIGICIONES E INCOLESIA E COLON	** cm/s ERCEM (1,8 kg/m*). tra. UNIVERSIDAD DE SAN CAROS FACUTAD DE INGEN GENTRO DE INGEN DE INGENERA SECCIÓN DE INCLANICA SECCIÓN DE INCLANICA SECCIÓN DE INCLANICA TEnríque Medrano Mendez Inción Mecánica de Suelos	DE GUATE
OBSERVACION Vo. Bo. Inga, Te	ES: Muestra proporci Mezcla de Suelo, Previo a ensayar	ionada por el interesado. Cemento (180kg/m ³) y POWI se realizó curado de la muest Atentamente, El MUESTRACONES El ICONESTRACONES El ICONESTRACONES Ing. Oma	** cm/s ERCEM (1,8 kg/m³). rra. UNIVERSIDAD DE SAN GARLOS FACULTAD DE INGEN CONTRO DE INGENERA DE INGENERA SECCIÓN DE MECHANICA SECCIÓN DE MECHANICA TENTIQUE (Medrano Mendez iscolón Mecánica de Suelos	DE QUATEM IERIA GOMES DE DE SUEL
OBSERVACION Vo. Bo. Inga. Te D	ES: Muestra proporci Mezcla de Suelo, Previo a ensayar	ionada por el interesado. Cermenabilidad= 0,00 x 10 ionada por el interesado. Cermento (180kg/m²) y POWI se realizó curado de la muest Atentamente, El CLION DE MIRSINGACORES EL CLION o Morales AC	** cm/s ERCEM (1,8 kg/m³). ra. UMMERSDAD DE SAN CARLOS FACULTAD DE MARSON DE MEDERA SECCIÓN DE MECANICA SECCIÓN DE MECANICA AT Enrique Medrano Mendez ración Mecánica de Suelos	DE QUATEM NERIA COMES DE DE SUIEL
OBSERVACION Vo. Bo. Inga, Te D	ES: Muestra proporci Mezcla de Suelo, Previo a ensayar	Atentamente, Concress Condes Conada por el interesado. Cernento (180kg/m ³) y POWI se realizó curado de la muest Atentamente, Ing. Oma Jefe Se O Morales SAC	encem en	DE QUATEM HERA DE SUIEL DE SUIEL

FLOOD RESISTANT – IMPERMEABLE ROADCEM UNESCO RECOMMENDED







Macro-economic Effects of Using the PowerCem Technology on Road Infrastructure in flood risk Areas

Ref nr: RC.INT.17.24052012

May 24th, 2012



Conventional deep stone road with concrete surface

Stabilised soil road treated in-situ with RoadCem



Allows roads to remain open even after floods which wash out traditions roads

Riverside defences for the Motupe and La Leche Rivers in Peru







20 km of riverbank reconstruction using RoadCem to bind and stabilise the in-situ riverbank soils.



PowerCem Technologies

PowerCem were requested to design of a mixture of local soil with cement and RoadCem additives, so that the soil reaches specific values of compressive strength and, in turn, permeability, so that the lateral dikes fulfil the function of retaining the waters of the rivers that on many occasions have exceeded the capacity of the channel, flooding surrounding land with the consequent losses.

SABANA YEGUA DAM







Dam runoff treated and sealed with RoadCem to prevent abrasion damage



WATER RESERVOIRS BUILT IN ISRAEL & EGYPT



Large Reservoirs constructed using in-situ soils stabilisation, with and without liners





WATER RESERVOIRS BUILT IN ISRAEL & EGYPT



These are very good projects to construct with RoadCem. If you have samples and the in-situ soil characterization we

can start to prepare three different mix designs. Checking the impermeability / water absorption / shear strength / pressure and displacement.

Clay + Dutch materia	I + 2% cement				E
stretch vs tension	0,75 mm	400	kPa	7,07 kN	9425 kN/m ²
	0,38 mm	150	kPa	2,65 kN	6976 kN/m ²
	0,22 mm	60	kPa	1,06 kN	4819 kN/m ²
Clay + Dutch materia	I + 4% cement				E
stretch vs tension	0,72 mm	400	kPa	7,07 _{kN}	9817 kN/m ²
	0,70 mm	150	kPa	2,65 kN	3787 kN/m ²
	0,21 mm	60	kPa	1,06 kN	5049 kN/m ²
Clay + Dutch materia	I + 6% cement				E
stretch vs tension	0,63 mm	400	kPa	7,07 kN	11220 kN/m ²
	0,48 mm	150	kPa	2,65 kN	5522 kN/m ²
	0,28 mm	60	kPa	1,06 kN	3787 kN/m ²

Design conclusion

The pressure strength of all three sample mixtures are perfectly acceptable.

Experience says that a critical shear strength of 100 á 150 kPa suffices.

The results would say that the 2% mix would be sufficient, but variations in material and mechanical installation factors have to be taken into account.

A normal factor is 1,25, so the 4% mix gets a CSS of 152 kPa. This is perfect for both strength & cost.



Large Reservoirs constructed using in-situ soils stabilisation, with and without liners



CANALISATION CAIRO









Contacts

PowerCem Technologies UK Ltd.

Les Ellaby

les@powercem.co.uk

Mobile No. 0790

07960 969584

Rodgers Leask Consultants

Andy Leask andy.leask@rodgersleask.co.uk

Mobile No.

07540 720721



Authorised RoadCem Installer - Contact Details

DNS Midlands



Mr Nick Faulkner

E-mail:- nick@dnsmidlands.co.uk

Mobile:- 07712 772 366

Office:- 01773 749 901

